

GENERAL RELATIVISTIC TREATMENT OF THE PIONEERS ANOMALY

Marcelo Samuel Berman and Fernando de Mello Gomide

Instituto Albert Einstein/Latinamerica

Av. Candido Hartmann 575 #17

80730-440 Curitiba PR Brazil

msberman@institutoalberteinstein.org

Abstract

We consider a General Relativistic generalized RW's metric, and find a field of Universal rotational global centripetal acceleration, numerically coincident with the value of the Pioneers Anomalous one. Related subjects are also treated. The rotation defined here is different from older frameworks, because we propose a Gaussian metric, whose tri-space rotates relative to the time orthogonal axis, globally.

Keywords: Cosmology; Einstein; Brans-Dicke; Pioneers anomaly.

Dated (last version) 25 July 2011

PACS:

GENERAL RELATIVISTIC TREATMENT OF THE PIONEERS ANOMALY

Marcelo Samuel Berman

1.INTRODUCTION

Attempts to ascribe a rotational state to the Universe, were carefully described by Godlowski (2011). However, he confessed that there was no theoretical framework, within General Relativity, to guide the observations. In the present paper, such a mechanism is provided. The metric to be presented, makes the tri-dimensional space, globally rotate relative to the orthogonal time axis. We are now proposing a novel idea, a generalized Gaussian metric, which is minimally different from the Robertson-Walker's one. In Berman (2007), a semi-relativistic treatment, based on the zero-total energy of the (rotating) Universe, made us conclude that the Pioneers anomalous deceleration, was a kind of peculiar centripetal effect of the rotation of the Universe, that could be observed by any cosmological observer. In the present paper, we prove the alleged zero-total energy of the rotating Universe, and supply the metric for such rotation with expansion. We keep a perfect fluid model, unlike Raychaudhuri's vorticities, and we also differ from the metrical rotational states, derived from non-diagonalized metrics. We shall find an energy-density solution, very similar to the Berman (2007) solution. As Berman and Gomide (2011a) have shown, by our framework, of a rotating Universe, we explain the three NASA anomalies, namely, the Pioneers linear deceleration, the spin-down of the spacecraft when they were undisturbed, and the fly-by. The present paper, yields a Machian solution, while the other one supplies a large class of general relativistic cosmological solutions with Universal rotation.

Ni (2008;2009), has reported observations on a possible rotation of the polarization of the cosmic background radiation, around 0.1 radians. As such radiation was originated at the inception of the Universe, we tried to estimate a possible angular speed or vorticity, by dividing 0.1 radians by the age of the Universe, obtaining about $10^{-19}\text{rad.s}^{-1}$.

.The numerical result is very close to the theoretical estimate, by Berman (2007),

$$\omega \approx c/R = 3.10^{-18}\text{rad.s}^{-1}.$$

where c, R represent the speed of light in vacuum, and the radius of the causally related Universe.

We must remember, as Berman and Gomide (2011) have pointed, that their calculation deals with material particles, or, in the language of General Relativity, non-null geodesics. The fact that the Universe may exhibit a rotating state, can be understood by a simple fine-tuning argument—it would be highly improbable that the Universe could keep since birth a state of no angular momentum at all.

The value of Berman's rotation, fits with the Pioneers anomaly, which consists on decelerations suffered by Nasa space probes in non- closed curves, extending to outer space. Thermal emission was cited as resolving the Pioneers anomaly, but it does not explain the fly-bys, like Berman and Gomide (2011) did through the present rotational theory. Worse, thermal emission is unable to explain why elliptical orbiters do not decelerate accordingly.

About this same numerical value of the angular speed is predicted also in Godel's rotational model, but it is not an expanding one (see Adler, Bazin and Schiffer, 1975). In the next few years, the observational evidence may confirm or not such rotation .

Rotating metrics in General Relativity were first studied by Islam (1985), but Cosmology was not touched upon. However, it would be necessary an extreme perfect fine-tuning, in order to create the Universe without any angular-momentum. The primordial Quantum Universe, is characterized by dimensional combinations of the fundamental constants " c " , " h " and " G " respectively the speed of light in vacuo, Planck's and Newton's gravitational constants. The natural angular momentum of Planck's Universe, as it is called, is, then, " h " . It will be shown that the angular momentum grows with the expanding Universe, but the corresponding angular speed decreases with the scale-factor (or radius) of the Universe, such being the reason for the difficulty in detection of this speed with present technology. Notwithstanding, the so-called Pioneers' anomaly (Anderson, 2002), which is a deceleration verified in the Pioneers space-probes launched by NASA more than thirty years ago, was attributed by Berman, to a "Machian" ubiquitous field of centripetal accelerations, due to the rotation of the Universe. Berman's calculation rested on the assumption that the zero-total energy of the Universe was a valid result for the rotating case, but the proof was not

supplied in that paper (Berman, 2007b). By "proof", one thinks on the pseudotensor energy calculations of General Relativity — the best gravitational theory ever published.

In his three best-sellers (Hawking, 1996; 2001; 2003), Hawking describes inflation (Guth, 1981; 1998), as an accelerated expansion of the Universe, immediately after the creation instant, while the Universe, as it expands, borrows energy from the gravitational field to create more matter. According to his description, the positive matter energy is exactly balanced by the negative gravitational energy, so that the total energy is zero, and that when the size of the Universe doubles, both the matter and gravitational energies also double, keeping the total energy zero (twice zero). Moreover, in the recent, next best-seller, Hawking and Mlodinow (2010) comment that if it were not for the gravity interaction, one could not validate a zero-energy Universe, and then, creation out of nothing would not have happened.

There are four methods, in GRT, to create rotations. Non-diagonal metrics, like Kerr's, is one. The adoption of an imperfect fluid model, with vorticities, as in Raychaudhuri's equation, is second. Third, you may follow the Godlowski et al (2004) idea, and add to the scale factor's squared time derivative, $\dot{R}^2$ a rotational term $(\omega R)^2$. On the other hand, Berman (2008a; b) has shown that Robertson-Walker's metric, is a particular, non-rotating case, of a general relativistic expanding and rotating metric first developed by Gomide and Uehara (1981). The peculiarity of the general metric is that instead of working with proper-time τ , one writes the field equations of General Relativity with a cosmic time t related by:

$$d\tau = (g_{00})^{1/2} dt, \quad (1)$$

where,

$$g_{00} = g_{00}(r, \theta, \phi, t). \quad (2)$$

It was seen that when one introduces a metric temporal coefficient g_{00} which is not constant, the new metric includes rotational effects. In fact, we have a generalized Gaussian metric, because besides the fact that the tri-space is orthogonal to the time-axis, the spatial part of the metric, rotates as a whole, relative to this time axis. This is a new concept being introduced in the theory.

The present paper follows the steps of the semi-relativistic treatment by Berman (2007),

but this time, it is General relativistic, and we shall find a Machian kind of solution. The general solution is to be found in Berman and Gomide (2011a).

In a previous paper Berman (2009c) has calculated the energy of the Friedman-Robertson-Walker's Universe, by means of pseudo-tensors, and found a zero-total energy. **Our main task will be to show why the Universe is a zero-total-energy entity, by means of pseudo-tensors, even when one chooses a variable g_{00} such that the Universe also rotates, and then, to show how General Relativity predicts a universal angular speed, and a universal centripetal deceleration, numerically coincident with the observed deceleration of the Pioneers space-probes.** The first calculation of this kind, with the Gomide -Uehara generalization of RW's metric, was undertaken by Berman (1981), in his M.Sc. thesis, advised by the present second author, but where the rotation of the Universe was not the scope of the thesis.

The pioneer works of Nathan Rosen (Rosen, 1994), Cooperstock and Israelit, (1995) , showing that the energy of the Universe is zero, by means of calculations involving pseudotensors, and Killing vectors, respectively, are here given a more simple approach. The energy of the (non-rotating) Robertson-Walker's Universe is zero, (Berman, 2007;2009c). Berman (1981) was the first author to work, in pseudotensor calculations for the energy of Robertson-Walker's Universe. He made the calculations on which the present paper rest, and, explicitly obtained the zero-total energy for a closed Universe, by means of LL-pseudotensor, when Robertson-Walker's metric was generalised by the introduction of a temporal-time-varying metric coefficient. However, the present authors, were unaware, in the year 1981, of the exact significance of their findings.

The zero-total-energy of the Roberston-Walker's Universe, and of any Machian ones, have been shown by many authors (Berman 2006; 2006a; 2007; 2007a; 2007b). It may be that the Universe might have originated from a vacuum quantum fluctuation. In support of this view, we shall show that the pseudotensor theory (Adler et al, 1975) points out to a null-energy for a rotating Robertson-Walker's Universe. Some prior work is mentioned,(Berman 2006; 2006a; 2007; 2007a; 2007b; Rosen, 1995; York Jr, 1980; Cooperstock, 1994; Cooperstock and Israelit, 1995; Garecki,1995; Johri et al.,1995; Feng and Duan,1996; Banerjee and Sen,1997;

Radinschi,1999; Cooperstock and Faraoni,2003). See also Katz (2006, 1985); Katz and Ori (1990); and Katz et al (1997). Recent developments include torsion models (So and Vargas, 2006), and, a paper by Xulu(2000).

The reason for the failure of non-Cartesian curvilinear coordinate energy calculations through pseudotensors, resides in that curvilinear coordinates carry non-null Christoffel symbols, even in Minkowski spacetime, thus introducing inertial or fictitious fields that are interpreted falsely as gravitational energy-carrying (false) fields.

Carmeli et al.(1990) listed four arguments against the use of Einstein's pseudotensor: **1.**the energy integral defines only an affine vector; **2.**no angular-momentum is available; **3.** as it depends only on the metric tensor and its first derivatives, it vanishes locally in a geodesic system; **4.** due to the existence of a superpotential, which is related to the total conserved pseudo-quadrimentum, by means of a divergence, then the values of the metric tensor, and its first derivatives, only matter, on a surface around the volume of the mass-system.

We shall argue below that, for the Universe, local and global Physics blend together. The pseudo-momentum, is to be taken like the linear momentum vector of Special Relativity, i.e., as an affine vector. In a previous paper (Berman, 2009c), we stated that "if the Universe has some kind of rotation, the energy-momentum calculation refers to a co-rotating observer". Such being the case, we now go ahead for the actual calculations, involving rotation. Birch (1982; 1983) cited inconclusive experimental data on a possible rotation of the Universe, which was followed by a paper written by Gomide, Berman and Garcia (1986).

2.FIELD EQUATIONS FOR THE ROTATING AND EXPANDING METRIC

Consider first a temporal metric coefficient which depends only on t . The line element becomes:

$$ds^2 = -\frac{R^2(t)}{(1+kr^2/4)^2} [d\sigma^2] + g_{00}(t) dt^2 \quad . \quad (3)$$

The field equations, in General Relativity Theory (GRT) become:

$$3\dot{R}^2 = \kappa(\rho + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa})g_{00}R^2 - 3kg_{00} \quad , \quad (4)$$

and,

$$6\ddot{R} = -g_{00}\kappa \left(\rho + 3p - 2\frac{\Lambda}{\kappa} \right) R - 3g_{00}\dot{R} \dot{g}^{00} \quad . \quad (5)$$

Local inertial processes are observed through proper time, so that the four-force is given by:

$$F^\alpha = \frac{d}{d\tau} (mu^\alpha) = mg^{00} \ddot{x}^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}m \dot{x}^\alpha \left[\frac{\dot{g}_{00}}{g_{00}^2} \right] \quad . \quad (6)$$

Of course, when $g_{00} = 1$, the above equations reproduce conventional Robertson-Walker's field equations.

We must mention that the idea behind Robertson-Walker's metric is the Gaussian coordinate system. Though the condition $g_{00} = 1$ is usually adopted, we must remember that, the resulting time-coordinate is meant as representing proper time. If we want to use another coordinate time, we still keep the Gaussian coordinate properties.

From the energy-momentum conservation equation, in the case of a uniform Universe, we must have,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (\rho) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (p) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (g_{00}) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \quad . \quad (7)$$

The above is necessary in the determination of cosmic time, for a commoving observer. We can see that the hypothesis (2) – that g_{00} is only time-varying – is now validated.

In order to understand equation (6), it is convenient to relate the rest-mass m , to an inertial mass M_i , with:

$$M_i = \frac{m}{g_{00}} \quad . \quad (8)$$

It can be seen that M_i represents the inertia of a particle, when observed along cosmic time, i.e., coordinate time. In this case, we observe that we have two acceleration terms, which we call,

$$a_1^\alpha = \ddot{x}^\alpha \quad , \quad (9)$$

and,

$$a_2^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2g_{00}} (\dot{x}^\alpha \dot{g}_{00}) \quad . \quad (10)$$

The first acceleration is linear; the second, resembles rotational motion, and depends on g_{00} and its time-derivative.

If we consider a_2^α a centripetal acceleration, we conclude that the angular speed ω is given by,

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\dot{g}_{00}}{g_{00}} \right) \quad . \quad (11)$$

By comparison between the usual τ – metric, and the field equations in the t – metric, we are led to conclude that the conventional energy density ρ and cosmic pressure p are transformed into $\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{p}$, where:

$$\bar{\rho} = g_{00} \left(\rho + \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{\kappa} \right) \quad , \quad (12)$$

and,

$$\bar{p} = g_{00} \left(p - \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{\kappa} \right) \quad . \quad (13)$$

We plug back into the field equations, and find,

$$\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda - \frac{3}{2\kappa} \left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) \dot{g}^{00} \quad . \quad (14)$$

For a time-varying angular speed, considering an arc ϕ , so that,

$$\omega(t) = \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \dot{\phi} \quad , \quad (15)$$

we find, from (11),

$$g_{00} = C e^{2\phi(t)} \quad . \quad (C = \text{constant}) \quad (16)$$

Returning to (14), we find,

$$\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda + \frac{3}{\kappa C} \left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right) \omega e^{-2\phi(t)} \quad . \quad (17)$$

This completes our solution.

The case where g_{00} depends also on r, θ and ϕ was considered also by Berman (2008b) and does not differ qualitatively from the present analysis, so that, we refer the reader to that paper.

3. ENERGY OF THE ROTATING EVOLUTIONARY UNIVERSE

Even in popular Science accounts (Hawking, 1996; 2001; 2003; — and Moldinow, 2010; Guth, 1998), it has been generally accepted that the Universe has zero-total energy. The first such claim, seems to be due to Feynman (1962-3). Lately, Berman (2006, 2006 a) has proved this result by means of simple arguments involving Robertson-Walker's metric for any value of the tri-curvature $(0, -1, 1)$.

The pseudotensor t_ν^μ , also called Einstein's pseudotensor, is such that, when summed with the energy-tensor of matter T_ν^μ , gives the following conservation law:

$$[\sqrt{-g}(T_\nu^\mu + t_\nu^\mu)]_{,\mu} = 0 \quad . \quad (18)$$

In such case, the quantity

$$P_\mu = \int \{ \sqrt{-g} [T_\mu^0 + t_\mu^0] \} d^3x \quad , \quad (19)$$

is called the general-relativistic generalization of the energy-momentum four-vector of special relativity (Adler et al, 1975).

It can be proved that P_μ is conserved when:

- a) $T_\nu^\mu \neq 0$ only in a finite part of space; and,
- b) $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu}$ when we approach infinity, where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric tensor.

However, there is no reason to doubt that, even if the above conditions were not fulfilled, we might eventually get a constant P_μ , because the above conditions are sufficient, but not strictly necessary. We hint on the plausibility of other conditions, instead of a) and b) above.

Such a case will occur, for instance, when we have the integral in (19) is equal to zero.

For our generalised metric, we get exactly this result, because, from Freud's (1939) formulae, there exists a super-potential, (Papapetrou, 1974):

$${}_F U_{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{g_{\lambda\alpha}}{2\sqrt{-g}}(\bar{g}^{\mu\alpha}\bar{g}^{\nu\beta} - \bar{g}^{\nu\alpha}\bar{g}^{\mu\beta})_{,\beta} ,$$

where the bars over the metric coefficients imply that they are multiplied by $\sqrt{-g}$, and such that,

$$\kappa\sqrt{-g}(T_{\lambda}^{\rho} + t_{\lambda}^{\rho}) = {}_F U_{\lambda}^{\rho\sigma}{}_{,\sigma} ,$$

thus finding, after a brief calculation, for the rotating Robertson-Walker's metric,

$$P_{\lambda} = 0 .$$

The above result, with von Freud's superpotential, which yields Einstein's pseudotensorial results, points to a zero-total energy Universe, even when the metric is endowed with a varying metric temporal coefficient .

A similar result would be obtained from Landau-Lifshitz pseudotensor (Papapetrou, 1974), where we have:

$$P_{LL}^{\nu} = \int (-g) [T^{\nu 0} + t_L^{\nu 0}] d^3x , \tag{20}$$

where,

$$\kappa\sqrt{-g}(T^{\mu\rho} + \tilde{t}^{\mu\rho}) = \tilde{U}^{\mu\rho\sigma}{}_{,\sigma} ,$$

$$\text{and, } \tilde{U}^{\mu\rho\sigma} = \bar{g}^{\lambda\mu} {}_F U_{\lambda}^{\rho\sigma} ,$$

A short calculation shows that, for the rotating metric,too, we keep valid the result,

$$P_{LL}^{\nu} = 0 \quad (\nu = 0, 1, 2, 3) . \tag{21}$$

Other superpotentials would also yield the same zero results. A useful source for the main superpotentials in the market, is the paper by Aguirregabiria et al. (1996).

The equivalence principle, says that at any location, spacetime is (locally) flat, and a geodesic coordinate system may be constructed, *where the Christoffel symbols are null*. The

pseudotensors are, then, at each point, null. But now remember that our old Cosmology requires a co-moving observer at each point. It is this co-motion that is associated with the geodesic system, and, as RW's metric is homogeneous and isotropic, for the co-moving observer, the zero-total energy density result, is repeated from point to point, all over spacetime. Cartesian coordinates are needed, too, because curvilinear coordinates are associated with fictitious or inertial forces, which would introduce inexistent accelerations that can be mistaken additional gravitational fields (i.e., that add to the real energy). Choosing Cartesian coordinates is not analogous to the use of center of mass frame in Newtonian theory, but the null results for the spatial components of the pseudo-quadrimentum show compatibility.

4. AN ALTERNATIVE DERIVATION

Though so many researchers have dealt with the energy of the Universe, our present original solution involves rotation. We may paraphrase a previous calculation, provided that we work with proper time τ instead of coordinate time t (Berman, 2009c). Then, the rotation of the Universe will be automatically included. We shall now consider, first, why the Minkowski metric represents a null energy Universe. Of course, it is empty. But, why it has zero-valued energy? We resort to the result of Schwarzschild's metric, (Adler et al., 1975), whose total energy is,

$$E = Mc^2 - \frac{GM^2}{2R} \quad .$$

If $M = 0$, the energy is zero, too. But when we write Schwarzschild's metric, and make the mass become zero, we obtain Minkowski metric, so that we got the zero-energy result. Any flat RW's metric, can be reparametrized as Minkowski's; or, for closed and open Universes, a superposition of such cases (Cooperstock and Faraoni, 2003; Berman, 2006; 2006a).

Now, the energy of the Universe, can be calculated at constant time coordinate τ . In particular, the result would be the same as when $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, or, even when $\tau \rightarrow 0$. Arguments for initial null energy come from Tryon (1973), and Albrow (1973). More recently, we recall the quantum fluctuations of Alan Guth's inflationary scenario (Guth, 1981; 1998). Berman (see for instance, 2008c), gave the Machian picture of the Universe, as being that of

a zero energy . Sciama's inertia theory results also in a zero-total energy Universe (Sciama, 1953; Berman, 2008d;2009e).

Consider the possible solution for the rotating case. We work with the τ -metric, so that we keep formally the RW's metric in an accelerating Universe. The scale-factor assumes a power-law , as in constant deceleration parameter models (Berman,1983;—and Gomide,1988),

$$R = (mD\tau)^{1/m} , \quad (22)$$

where, m , $D =$ constants, and,

$$m = q + 1 > 0 , \quad (23)$$

where q is the deceleration parameter.

For a perfect fluid energy tensor, and a perfect gas equation of state, cosmic pressure and energy density obey the following energy-momentum conservation law, (Berman, 2007, 2007a),

$$\dot{\rho} = -3H(\rho + p) , \quad (24)$$

where, only in this Section, overdots stand for τ -derivatives .Let us have,

$$p = \alpha\rho \quad (\alpha = \text{constant larger than } -1) . \quad (25)$$

On solving the differential equation, we find, for any $k = 0$, 1 , -1 ,that,

$$\rho = \rho_0\tau^{-\frac{3(1+\alpha)}{m}} \quad (\rho_0 = \text{constant}) . \quad (26)$$

When $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, from (26) we see that the energy density becomes zero, and we retrieve an "empty" Universe, or, say, again, the energy is zero. However, this energy density is for the matter portion, but nevertheless, as in this case, $R \rightarrow \infty$, all masses are infinitely far from each others, so that the gravitational inverse-square interaction is also null. The total energy density is null, and, so, the total energy. Notice that the energy-momentum conservation equation does not change even if we add a cosmological constant density, because we may subtract an equivalent amount in pressure, and equation (24)

remains the same. The constancy of the energy, leads us to consider the zero result at infinite time, also valid at any other instant.

We refer to Berman (2006; 2006a) for another alternative proof of the zero-energy Universe. If we took τ instead of t , these references would provide the zero result also for the rotational case.

5. PIONEERS ANOMALY REVISITED

Einstein's field equations (4) and (5) above, can be obtained, when $g_{00} = \text{constant}$, through the mere assumptions of conservation of energy (equation 4) and thermodynamical balance of energy (equation 5), as was pointed out by Barrow (1988). The latter is also to be regarded as a definition of cosmic pressure, as the volume derivative of energy with negative sign ($p = -\frac{d(\rho V)}{dV}$).

Now, let us consider a time-varying g_{00} . We may write the energy (in fact, the "energy-density")-equation, as follows:

$$\frac{3\dot{R}^2}{g_{00}} - \kappa(\rho + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa})R^2 = -3k = \text{constant} \quad . \quad (27)$$

The r.h.s. stands for a constant. We can regard the l.h.s. as the a sum of constant terms, thus finding a possible solution of the field equations, such that each term in the l.h.s. of (27) remains constant. For example, let us consider,

$$\rho = \rho_0 R^{-2} \quad , \quad (28)$$

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 R^{-2} \quad , \quad (29)$$

$$g_{00} = 3\gamma^{-1}\dot{R}^2 \quad , \quad (30)$$

where, ρ_0 , Λ_0 and γ are non-zero constants.

When we plug the above solution to the cosmic pressure equation (5), we find that it is automatically satisfied provided that the following conditions hold,

$$2\Lambda_0 = \kappa\rho_0(1 + 3\alpha) \quad , \quad (31)$$

$$p = \alpha \rho \quad (\alpha = \text{constant}) \quad , \quad (32)$$

and,

$$\gamma = \kappa \rho_0 + \Lambda_0 - 3k \quad . \quad (32a)$$

As we found a general-relativistic solution, so far, we are entitled to the our previous general relativistic angular speed formula (11), to which we plug our solution (30), to wit,

$$\omega = \frac{\ddot{R}}{R} = H + \frac{\dot{H}}{H} \quad .$$

For the power-law solution of the last Section,

$$H = \frac{1}{mt} \quad ,$$

so that,

$$\omega = -\frac{q}{mt} \approx t^{-1} \quad ,$$

where we roughly estimated the present deceleration paramenter as $-1/2$, while, the centripetal acceleration,

$$a = -\omega^2 R \approx -t^{-2} R \simeq 8.10^{-8} \text{ cm.s}^{-2}.$$

Notice that the same result would follow from a scale-factor varying linearly with time. This is the sort of scale-factor associated with the Machian Universe. In fact, the field equations that we had (equations (4) and (5)), were not enough in order to determine the exact form of the scale-factor, because we had an extra-unknown term, the temporal metric coefficient. When we advance a given equation of state, the original RW's field equations, with constant g_{00} , may determine the scale-factor's formula. Just to remember, our solution is a particular one.

This is a general relativistic result. It matches Pioneers anomalous deceleration.

In an Appendix to this Section, we go ahead with the alternative calculation with a simple naive Special Relativistic - Machian analysis, as had been made in Berman(2007b).

APPENDIX TO THIS SECTION

As we now have the pseudo-tensorial zero-total energy result, for rotation plus expansion, we might write in terms of elementary Physics, a possible energy of the Universe equation, composed of the inertial term of Special Relativity, Mc^2 , the potential self-energy $-\frac{GM^2}{2R}$, and the cosmological "constant" energy, $\frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}(\frac{4}{3}\pi R^3)$, and not forgetting rotational energy, $\frac{1}{2}I\omega^2$, where I stands for the moment of inertia of a "sphere" of radius R and mass M . The energy equation is equated to zero, i.e.,

$$0 = Mc^2 - \frac{GM^2}{2R} + \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}(\frac{4}{3}\pi R^3) + \frac{1}{2}I\omega^2 \quad . \quad (33)$$

It must be remembered that R is a time-increasing function, while the total-zero energy result must be time-invariant, so that the principle of energy conservation be valid. A close analysis shows that the above conditions can be met by solutions (28) and (29), which were derived or induced from the general relativistic equations. When we plug the inertia moment,

$$I = \frac{2}{5}MR^2 \quad , \quad (34)$$

we need also to consider the following Brans-Dicke generalised relations,

$$\frac{GM}{c^2R} = \Gamma = \text{constant} \quad , \quad (35)$$

and,

$$\omega = \frac{c}{R} \quad . \quad (36)$$

If we calculate the centripetal acceleration corresponding to the above angular speed, we find, for the present Universe, with $R \approx 10^{28}\text{cm}$ and $c \simeq 3.10^{10}\text{cm.s}^{-2}$,

$$a_{cp} = -\omega^2 R \cong -8.10^{-8}\text{cm/s}^2 \quad . \quad (37)$$

This value matches the observed experimentally deceleration of the NASA Pioneers' space-probes.

We observe that the Machian picture above is understood to be valid for any observer in the Universe, i.e., the center of the "ball" coincides with any observer; the "Machian"

centripetal acceleration should be felt by any observed point in the Universe subject to observation from any other location.

We solve also other mystery concerning Pioneers anomaly. It has been verified experimentally, that those space-probes in closed (elliptical) orbits do not decelerate anomalously, but only those in hyperbolic flight. The solution of this other enigma is easy, according to our view. The elliptical orbiting trajectories are restricted to our local neighborhood, and do not acquire cosmological features, which are necessary to qualify for our Machian analysis, which centers on cosmological ground. But hyperbolic motion is not bound by the Solar system, and in fact those orbits extend to infinity, thus qualifying themselves to suffer the cosmological Machian deceleration. Thermal emission may solve the first Pioneer anomaly, but it does not solve the spin-down, nor the fly-bys in gravity assists. It is not clear why, thermal emission did not cause decelerations in elliptical orbiters. Rotation of the Universe solves all the three (Berman and Gomide, 2011a).

6. FINAL COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION

Someone has made very important criticisms on our work. First, he says why do not the planets in the solar system show the calculated deceleration on the Pioneers? The reason is that elliptical orbits are closed, and localized. You do not feel the expansion of the universe in the sizes of the orbits either. In General Relativity books, authors make this explicit. You do not include Hubble's expansion in Schwarzschild's metric. But, those space probes that undergo hyperbolic motion, which orbits extend towards infinity, they acquire cosmological characteristics, like, the given P.A. deceleration. Second objection, there are important papers which resolve the P.A. with non-gravitational Physics. The answer,— that is OK, we have now alternative explanations. This does not preclude ours. Third, cosmological reasons were discarded, including rotation of the Universe. The problem is that those discarded cosmologies, did not employ the correct metric. For instance, they discarded rotation by examining Godel model, which is non expanding, and with a strange metric. The kind of metric we employ now, or the one that we employed in the rotational case, were not discarded or discussed by the authors cited by this objecter. Then, the final question, is how come that a well respected author dismissed planetary Coriolis forces induced by rotation of distant masses, by means of the constraints in the solar system. Our answer is that, beside

what we answered above, he needs to consider Mach's Principle on one side, and the theoretical meaning of vorticities, because one is not speaking in a center or an axis of rotation or so. When we say, in Cosmology, that the Universe rotates, we mean that there is a field of vorticities, just that. The whole idea is that Cosmology does not enter the Solar System except for non-closed orbits that extend to outer space. We ask the reader to check Mach's Principle, because in some formulations of this principle, rotation is in fact a *forbidden affaire*.

Another one pointed out a different "problem". He objects, that the angular speed formula of ours, is coordinate dependent. Now, when you choose a specific metric, you do it thinking about the kind of problem you have to tackle. After you choose the convenient metric, you forget tensor calculus, and you work with coordinate-dependent relations. They work only for the given metric, of course.

We have obtained a zero-total energy proof for a rotating expanding Universe. The zero result for the spatial components of the energy-momentum-pseudotensor calculation, are equivalent to the choice of a center of Mass reference system in Newtonian theory, likewise the use of comoving observers in Cosmology. It is with this idea in mind, that we are led to the energy calculation, yielding zero total energy, for the Universe, as an acceptable result: we are assured that we chose the correct reference system; this is a response to the criticism made by some scientists which argue that pseudotensor calculations depend on the reference system, and thus, those calculations are devoid of physical meaning.

Related conclusions by Berman should be consulted (see all Berman's references at the end of this article). As a bonus, we can assure that there was not an initial infinite energy density singularity, because attached to the zero-total energy conjecture, there is a zero-total energy-density result, as was pointed by Berman elsewhere (Berman, 2008). The so-called total energy density of the Universe, which appears in some textbooks, corresponds only to the non-gravitational portion, and the zero-total energy density results when we subtract from the former, the opposite potential energy density.

As Berman(2009d; f) shows, we may say that the Universe is *singularity -free*, and was created *ab-nihilo*, nor there is zero-time infinite energy-density singularity.

Paraphrasing Dicke (1964; 1964a), it has been shown the many faces of Dirac's LNH, as many as there are about Mach's Principle. In face of modern Cosmology, the naive theory of Dirac is a foil for theoretical discussion on the foundations of this branch of Physical theory. The angular speed found by us, (Berman, 2010; 2009a), matches results by Gödel (see Adler et al., 1975), Sabbata and Gasperini (1979), and Berman (2007b, 2008b, c).

Rotation of the Universe and zero-total energy were verified for Sciama's linear theory, which has been expanded, through the analysis of radiating processes, by one of the present authors (Berman, 2008d; 2009e). There, we found Larmor's power formula, in the gravitational version, leads to the correct constant power relation for the Machian Universe. However, we must remember that in local Physics, General Relativity deals with quadrupole radiation, while Larmor is a dipole formula; for the Machian Universe the resultant constant power is basically the same, either for our Machian analysis or for the Larmor and general relativistic formulae.

Referring to rotation, it could be argued that cosmic microwave background radiation deals with null geodesics, while Pioneers' anomaly, for instance, deals with time-like geodesics. In favor of evidence on rotation, we remark neutrinos' spin, parity violations, the asymmetry between matter and anti-matter, left-handed DNA-helices, the fact that humans and animals alike have not symmetric bodies, the same happening to molluscs. And, of course, the results of the rotation of the polarization of CMBR.

We predict that chaotic phenomena and fractals, rotations in galaxies and clusters, may provide clues on possible left handed preference through the Universe.

Berman and Trevisan (2010) have remarked that creation out-of-nothing seems to be supported by the zero-total energy calculations. Rotation was now included in the derivation of the zero result. We could think that the Universes are created in pairs, the first one (ours), has negative spin and positive matter; the second member of the pair, would have negative matter and positive spin: for the ensemble of the two Universes, the total mass would always be zero; the total spin, too. The total energy (twice zeros) is also zero. Our framework, is the only one to solve the fly-by anomaly altogether, and explains why elliptical orbiters do not decelerate.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Marcelo Fermann Guimarães, Nelson Suga, Mauro Tonasse, Antonio F. da F. Teixeira, and for the encouragement by Albert, Paula, and Luiza Mitiko Gomide.

REFERENCES AND RELATED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Adler, R.J.; Bazin, M.; Schiffer, M. (1975) - *Introduction to General Relativity*, 2nd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Aguirregabiria, J.M. et al. (1996) - GRG **28**, 1393.
- Albrow, M.G.(1973) - Nature, **241**,56.
- Albrecht, A.; Magueijo, J.(1998) *A time varying speed of light as a solution to cosmological puzzles*-preprint.
- Anderson,J.D. et al.(2002)- "*Study of the anomalous acceleration of Pioneer 10 and 11*".- Phys. Rev. D 65, 082004 (2002)
- Arbab, A. I. (2004) - *Quantum Universe and the Solution to the Cosmological Problems*, GRG **36** , 3565.
- Bahcall, J.N. ; Schmidt, M. (1967) - Physical Review Letters **19**, 1294.
- Banerjee, N.; Sen, S. (1997) - Pramana J.Phys., **49**, 609.
- Barrow, J.D. (1988) - *The Inflationary Universe*, in *Interactions and Structures in Nuclei*, pp. 135-150, ed. by R. Blin Stoye and W.D. Hamilton, Adam Hilger, Bristol.
- Barrow, J.D. (1990) - in *Modern Cosmology in Retrospect*, ed. by B. Bertotti, R.Balbinot, S.Bergia and A.Messina. CUP, Cambridge.
- Barrow, J.D. (1997) - *Varying G and Other Constants* , Los Alamos Archives <http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9711084> v1 27/nov/1997.
- Barrow, J.D. (1998) - in *Particle Cosmology*, Proceedings RESCEU Symposium on Particle Cosmology, Tokyo, Nov 10-13, 1997, ed. K. Sato, T. Yanagida, and T. Shiromizu, Universal Academic Press, Tokyo, pp. 221-236.
- Barrow, J.D. (1998a) - *Cosmologies with Varying Light Speed*, Los Alamos Archives <http://arxiv.org/abs/Astro-Ph/9811022> v1 .

Barrow, J.D. ; Magueijo, J. (1999) - Solving the Flatness and Quasi-Flatness Problems in Brans-Dicke Cosmologies with varying Light Speed. *Class. Q. Gravity*, 16, 1435-54.

Bekenstein, J.D. (1982) - *Physical Review* **D25**, 1527.

Berman, M. S. (1981, unpublished) - M.Sc. thesis, Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica, São José dos Campos, Brazil. Available online, through the federal government site www.sophia.bibl.it.br/biblioteca/index.html (supply author's surname and keyword may be "pseudotensor" or "Einstein").

Berman, M.S. (1983) - *Special Law of Variation for Hubble's Parameter*, *Nuovo Cimento* **74B**, 182-186.

Berman, M.S. (1992) - *Large Number Hypothesis* - *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **31**, 1447.

Berman, M.S. (1992a) - *A Generalized Large Number Hypothesis* - *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **31**, 1217-19.

Berman, M. S. (1994) - *A Generalized Large Number Hypothesis* - *Astrophys. Space Science*, **215**, 135-136.

Berman, M.S. (1996) - *Superinflation in G.R. and B.D. Theories: An eternal Universe* - *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **35**, 1789.

Berman, M. S. (2006) - *Energy of Black-Holes and Hawking's Universe*, in Chapter 5 of *Trends in Black Hole Research*, ed by Paul V. Kreitler, Nova Science, New York.

Berman, M. S. (2006a) - *Energy, Brief History of Black-Holes, and Hawking's Universe*, in Chapter 5 of: *New Developments in Black Hole Research*, ed by Paul V. Kreitler, Nova Science, New York.

Berman, M. S. (2007) - *Introduction to General Relativity and the Cosmological Constant Problem*, Nova Science, New York.

Berman, M. S. (2007a) - *Introduction to General Relativistic and Scalar Tensor Cosmologies*, Nova Science, New York.

Berman, M.S. (2007b) - *The Pioneer Anomaly and a Machian Universe* - *Astrophysics and Space Science*, **312**, 275. Los Alamos Archives, <http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0606117>.

Berman, M. S. (2007c) - *Gravitomagnetism and Angular Momenta of Black-Holes* - *RevMexAA*, **43**, 297-301.

Berman, M. S. (2007d) - *Is the Universe a White-hole?* - Astrophysics and Space Science, **311**, 359.

Berman, M. S. (2008a) - *A General Relativistic Rotating Evolutionary Universe*, Astrophysics and Space Science, **314**, 319-321.

Berman, M. S. (2008b) - *A General Relativistic Rotating Evolutionary Universe - Part II*, Astrophysics and Space Science, **315**, 367-369.

Berman, M.S. (2008c) - *A Primer in Black Holes, Mach's Principle and Gravitational Energy*, Nova Science, New York.

Berman, M.S. (2008d) - *On the Machian Origin of Inertia*, Astrophysics Space Science, **318**, 269-272. Los Alamos Archives, <http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0609026>

Berman, M.S. (2008e) - *General Relativistic Machian Universe*, Astrophysics and Space Science, **318**, 273-277.

Berman, M.S. (2008f) - *Shear and Vorticity in a Combined Einstein-Cartan-Brans-Dicke Inflationary Lambda Universe*, Astrophysics and Space Science, **314**, 79-82. For a preliminary report, see Los Alamos Archives, <http://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0607005>

Berman, M.S. (2009g) - *Gravitons, Dark Matter, and Classical Gravitation*, AIP Conference Proceedings **1168**, 1068-1071. Preliminary version, see Los Alamos Archives <http://arxiv.org/abs/0806.1766> .

Berman, M.S. (2009) - *General Relativistic Singularity-free Cosmological Model*, Astrophysics and Space Science, **321**, 157-160.

Berman, M.S. (2009a) - *Simple Model with time-varying fine-structure "constant"*, *RevMexAA* **45**, 139-142.

Berman, M.S. (2009b) - *Entropy of the Universe*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, **48**, 1933. DOI 10.1007/s10773-009-9966-4 . Los Alamos Archives <http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.3135>.

Berman, M.S. (2009c) - *On the zero-energy Universe*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, **48**, 3278.

Berman, M.S. (2009d) - *Why the initial infinite singularity of the Universe is not there?*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, **48**, 2253.

Berman, M.S. (2009e) - *On Sciama's Machian Cosmology*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, **48**, 3257.

Berman, M.S. (2009f) - *General Relativistic Singularity-Free Cosmological Model*, Astrophysics and Space Science, **321**, 157. Los Alamos Archives <http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.3141>

Berman, M.S. (2010) - *Simple Model with time-varying fine-structure "constant" - Part II*, *RevMexAA* **46**, 23-28.

Berman, M.S.; Gomide, F.M.(1988) - *Cosmological Models with Constant Deceleration Parameter* - GRG **20**,191-198.

Berman,M.S.;Gomide,F.M.(2010)-old version of the present submitted paper to this Journal.See Los Alamos Archives,arXiv:1011.4627v3

Berman,M.S.;Gomide,F.M.(2011)-*On the Rotation of the Zero-Energy Expanding Universe*, in "The Big-Bang:Theory, Assumptions and Problems", ed. by O'Connell and Hale,Nova Science,N.Y., to be published.

Berman,M.S.;Gomide,F.M.(2011a)-See Los Alamos Archives,arXiv:1106.5388v3

Berman, M.S.; Trevisan, L.A. (2001) - Los Alamos Archives <http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0112011>

Berman, M.S.; Trevisan, L.A. (2001a) - Los Alamos Archives <http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0111102>

Berman, M.S.; Trevisan, L.A. (2001b) - Los Alamos Archives <http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0111101>

Berman, M.S.; Trevisan, L.A. (2010) - International Journal of Modern Physics, **D19**, 1309-1313. For a preliminary version, see Los Alamos Archives <http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0104060>

Berry, M.V. (1989) - *Principles of Cosmology and Gravitation*, Adam Hilger, Bristol.

Birch, P. (1982) - Nature, **298**, 451.

Birch, P. (1983) - Nature, **301**, 736.

Carmeli,M. ;Leibowitz,E. ;Nissani, N. (1990)-*Gravitation:SL(2,C) Gauge Theory and Conservation Laws*,World Scientific, Singapore.

Cooperstock, F.I. (1994) - GRG **26**, 323.

Cooperstock, F.I.; Israelit,M. (1995) - *Foundations of Physics*, **25**, 631.

- Cooperstock, F.I.;Faraoni,V.(2003) - Ap.J. 587,483.
- de Sabbata, V.; Gasperini, M. (1979) - *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento*, **25**, 489.
- de Sabbata, V.; Sivaram, C. (1994) - *Spin and Torsion in Gravitation*, World Scientific, Singapore.
- Dicke, R.H. (1964) - *The many faces of Mach*, in *Gravitation and Relativity*,ed. by Chiu,H.-Y. and Hoffmann,W.F., Benjamin , New York.
- Dicke, R.H. (1964a) - *The significance for the solar system of time-varying gravitation*, in *Gravitation and Relativity*,ed. by Chiu,H.-Y. and Hoffmann, W.F., Benjamin , New York.
- Dirac, P.A.M. (1938) - *Proceedings of the Royal Society* **165** A, 199.
- Dirac, P.A.M. (1974) - *Proceedings of the Royal Society* **A338**, 439.
- Eddington, A.S. (1933) - *Expanding Universe*, CUP, Cambridge.
- Eddington, A.S. (1935) - *New Pathways in Science*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Eddington, A.S. (1939) - Sci. Progress, London, **34**, 225.
- Feng, S.; Duan, Y. (1996) - Chin. Phys. Letters, **13**, 409.
- Feynman, R. P. (1962-3) - *Lectures on Gravitation* , Addison-Wesley, Reading.
- Freud, P.H.(1939) - Ann. Math, **40**, 417.
- Garecki, J. (1995) - GRG, **27**, 55.
- Godlowski,W.;et al.(2004)-Los Alamos Archives astro-ph/0404329.See also GERG Journal.
- Godlowski,W.(2011)- Los Alamos Archives, arXiv:1103.5786v3
- Gomide, F.M. (1976) - *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **15**, 515.
- Gomide, F.M.; Berman, M.S.; Garcia, R.L. (1986) - RevMexAA, **12**, 46.
- Gomide, F.M.; Uehara, M. (1981) - Astronomy and Astrophysics, **95**, 362.
- Guth, A. (1981) - Phys. Rev. **D23**, 347 .

- Guth, A. (1998) - *The Inflationary Universe*, Vintage, New York, page 12.
- Halliday, D.; Resnik, R.; Walker, J. (2008) - *Fundamentals of Physics*, Wiley. 8th Edition. New York.
- Hawking, S. (1996) - *The Illustrated A Brief History of Time*, Bantam Books, New York, pages 166-167.
- Hawking, S. (2001) - *The Universe in a Nutshell*, Bantam Books, New York, pages 90-91.
- Hawking, S. (2003) - *The Illustrated Theory of Everything*, Phoenix Books, Beverly Hills, page 74.
- Iorio, L. (2010) - JCAP 08, 030.
- Islam, J.N. (1985) - *Rotating Fields in General Relativity*, CUP, Cambridge.
- Johri, V.B.; et al. (1995) - GRG, **27**, 313.
- Katz, J. (1985) - Classical and Quantum Gravity **2**, 423.
- Katz, J. (2006) - Private communication.
- Katz, J.; Ori, A. (1990) - Classical and Quantum Gravity **7**, 787.
- Katz, J.; Bicak, J.; Lynden-Bell, D. (1997) - Physical Review **D55**, 5957.
- Landau, L.; Lifshitz, E. (1975) - *The Classical Theory of Fields*, 4th. Revised ed.; Pergamon, Oxford.
- Moffat, J.W. (1993) - International Journal of Modern Physics, **D2**, 351.
- Ni, Wei-Tou (2008) - Progress Theor. Phys. Suppl. **172**, 49-60.
- Ni, Wei-Tou (2009) - Int. Journ. Modern Phys. **A24**, 3493-3500.
- Papapetrou, A. (1974) - *Lectures on General Relativity*, Reidel, Boston.
- Radinschi, I. (1999) - Acta Phys. Slov., **49**, 789. Los Alamos Archives, gr-qc/0008034.
- Reitz, J.R.; Milford, F.J.; Christy, R.W. (1979) - *Foundations of Electromagnetic Theory*, Addison-Wesley. Reading.
- Rosen, N. (1994) - *Gen. Rel. and Grav.* **26**, 319.
- Rosen, N. (1995) - GRG, **27**, 313.
- Sabbata, de V.; Gasperini, M. (1979) - *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento*, **25**, 489.
- Schmidt, B.P. (1998) - Ap.J., **507**, 46-63.
- Schwarzschild, B. (2001) - Physics Today, **54** (7), 16.
- Sciama, D.W. (1953) - M.N.R.A.S., **113**, 34.

- So, L.L.; Vargas, T. (2006) - Los Alamos Archives, gr-qc/0611012 .
- Tryon, E.P.(1973) - Nature, **246**, 396.
- Webb, J.K; et al. (1999) –Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 884.
- Webb, J.K; et al. (2001) – Phys Rev. Lett. **87**, 091301.
- Weinberg, S. (1972) - *Gravitation and Cosmology*, Wiley. New York.
- Will, C. (1987) - in *300 Years of Gravitation*, ed. by W.Israel and S.Hawking, CUP, Cambridge.
- Will, C. (1995) - in *General Relativity - Proceedings of the 46th Scottish Universities Summer School in Physics*, ed. by G.Hall and J.R.Pulhan, IOP/SUSSP Bristol.
- Xulu, S. (2000) - International Journal of Theoretical Physics, **39**, 1153. Los Alamos Archives, gr-qc/9910015 .
- York Jr, J.W. (1980) - *Energy and Momentum of the Gravitational Field*, in *A Festschrift for Abraham Taub*, ed. by F.J. Tipler, Academic Press, N.Y.